

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/706,645	11/06/2000	Dimitri Kanevsky	13808(YOR920000454US1)	8227	
75	590 12/13/2005	EXAMINER			
Richard L Catania Scully Scott Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• •			3629	<u>-</u> <u>-</u>	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
065 4-4' 0		. 09	/706,645	KANEVSKY ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		Jor	nathan Ouellette	3629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a obj and will expire SIX (6) MON the the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 20 Santa	mher 2005					
2a)[								
3)□	,_							
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienoeiti	on of Claims							
•		:						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12-16 and 18-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
• —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12-16,18-21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to.	-A:	-4:i					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				•			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the draw	ring(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exami	ner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowleḋgment is made of a claim □ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies			received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the Internation							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•						
Attachmen	ıt(s)	· ·			٠			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (			(s)/Mail Date	TO 152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

# **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

The Request filed on 9/29/2005 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114
 based on parent Application No. 09/706,645 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

## Response to Amendment

2. Claims 5, 11, and 17 have been cancelled, and Claims 22 and 23 have been added; therefore, Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-23 are currently pending in application 09/706,645.

#### Claim Objections

3. <u>Claim 23</u> is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including *all* of the limitations of the base claim (independent Claim 1) and any intervening claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3629

5. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, 18-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brewster et al. (US 5,960,337) in view of Lemelson et al. (US 6,028,514), and further in view of Sutcliffe et al. (US 6,052,122).

Page 3

6. As per independent Claims 1, 7, and 13, Brewster discloses a method (system, program storage device) of providing help to people, comprising the steps: (a) organizing a network of people/volunteers (service provider) for helping people, each of the people/volunteers (EAS responder) having a portable, wireless communications device (abstract, C5 L25-30, C5 L51-62); (b) establishing a second database identifying a plurality of volunteers, and for each of the volunteers, identifying at least one specific emergency (disability) said volunteer (EAS responder – commonly volunteer Firemen or volunteer EMS) is willing to assist (abstract, C7 L5-14); (c) after the second database is established at least one person, using one of the wireless communications devices (mobile phone) to transmit a request for help (abstract); (e) after said one of the volunteers is identified, notifying said one of the volunteers of the request for help via one of the wireless communications devices (abstract, C4 L38-67, C5 L25-30, C5 L51-62, C7 L1-14, C8 L18-41, Claims 15-18); and (f) the matching server (Electronic/computerized version of EAS operator) providing information to at least one of (i) said one of the volunteers (EAS Responder – commonly volunteer firemen or EMS), or (ii) the person making the request, to enable said one or the volunteers and the person making the request to physically find and meet each other (providing EAS Responder direction to emergency victim, C4 L57-60).

Art Unit: 3629

7. Brewster fails to expressly disclose people with "disabilities" (participating subscriber) nor establishing a first database identifying and having information about a plurality of people with disabilities, identifying at least one specific disability said person has.

Page 4

- 8. However, Lemelson does disclose a system for helping people with medical problems (disabilities) and establishing a database having information about the people with disabilities, said information identifying for each of the persons with disabilities, at least one specific disability said person has (C7 L40-67, C8 L1-16).
- 9. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include people with "disabilities" (participating subscriber) and establishing a first database identifying and having information about a plurality of people with disabilities, identifying at least one specific disability said person has, as disclosed by Lemelson, in the system disclosed by Brewster for the advantage of offering a method (system, program storage device) of providing help to people with disabilities, with the ability to provide more direct and accurate care to the injured or disabled person, by matching them to a caretaker (volunteer) with the information and skills necessary to most efficiently and effectively help the person in need (Lemelson: C4 L29-49).
- 10. Brewster and Lemelson fail to expressly disclose using a matching server to use information from the pre-established first and second databases to match the person making the request with at least one of the volunteers, and <u>using the matching server to notify said one of the volunteers</u> of the request for help.
- 11. However, Brewster disclosed forming a database (second database) of emergency personnel/volunteers (EAS responders) and Lemelson discloses forming a database (first

Art Unit: 3629

database) of people with medical problems, and both Brewster and Lemelson disclose maintaining the databases at an emergency control center which coordinates appropriate assistance to problems (Lemelson: (C4 L46-49), Brewster: Claim 1).

- 12. Furthermore, Sutcliffe discloses using a matching server to use information from the preestablished first and second databases to match the person making the request (user #1) with at least one of the volunteers (user #2) (Abstract, C7 L64-67, C8 L1-18), and using the matching server to notify both user #1 and user #2 of a match (C8 L13-15).
- 13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included using a matching server to use information from the pre-established first and second databases to match the person making the request (user #1) with at least one of the volunteers (user #2), and using the matching server to notify said one of the volunteers (user #2) of the request for help (a match), as disclosed by Sutcliffe in the system disclosed by Lemelson, in the system disclosed by Brewster, for the advantage of providing a method (system, program storage device) of providing help to people with disabilities, with the ability to increase system effectiveness/efficiency, by allowing the user to integrate and manage information from two separate profile database types and keep users updated on system progress.
- 14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the references of Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe to form one system wherein an emergency operator could match entries from a first (medical information database) and second database (respondent qualifications database) in order to send/notify appropriate personnel to people in need.

Art Unit: 3629

15. As per Claims 2, 8, and 14, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe disclose wherein step (c) includes the step of one of the persons with disabilities using one of the wireless communications devices to transmit a request for help to the network; and step (d) includes the step of the network identifying said one of the persons with disabilities to said one of the volunteers via one of the wireless communications devices.

Page 6

- 16. As per Claim 3, 9, and 15, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe do not expressly show the volunteers providing at least one service selected from the group comprising: i) reading a newspaper or other information to a blind person, ii) translating a conversation into sign language, and iii) bringing requested items to one of the persons with disabilities.
- 17. However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The method of providing help to people with disabilities would be performed regardless of the type of service/help provided.

  Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, *see In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).
- 18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the person with a disability a service comprising one of the following: i) reading a newspaper or other information to a blind person, ii) translating a conversation into sign language, and iii) bringing requested items to one of the persons with disabilities, because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the service does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3629

19. As per Claims 4, 10, and 16, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe disclose using a global positioning system to identify the location of said one of the persons with disabilities, and to identify one or more of the volunteers in the vicinity of said one of the persons with disabilities.

Page 7

- 20. As per Claims 6, 12, and 18, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe disclose wherein the matching step includes the step of: using a matching server to search the people with disabilities and the volunteers to find a list of candidate volunteers and methods of help; sending a request to each of the candidate volunteers; interacting with the candidate volunteers to find a final choice volunteer; and sending a final request to the final choice volunteer.
- 21. As per Claim 19, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe disclose wherein the step of using the matching server to identify one of the volunteers includes the step of using the matching server i) to identify several candidate volunteers (search results), ii) to establish dialogues between the person requesting help and the candidate volunteers (C3 L15-20, match data provided to user to establish contact), and iii) on the basis of said dialogues, selecting one of the candidate volunteers to assist the person requesting help.
- 22. As per Claim 20, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe disclose wherein the matching server includes a dialogue module, and comprising the further step of using the dialogue module to establish communications between the volunteer and the person making the request for help (See rejection of claim 19).
- 23. As per Claim 21, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe fail to expressly disclose wherein the step of using the matching server to identify one of the volunteers includes the step of

Application/Control Number: 09/706,645 Page 8

Art Unit: 3629

using the matching server to identify volunteers who have already assisted the person making the request for help.

- 24. However, Official notice is given that integrating a filter into a database matching process was well known and used at the time the invention was made.
- 25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included wherein the step of using the matching server to identify one of the volunteers includes the step of using the matching server to identify volunteers who have already assisted the person making the request for help, in the system disclosed by Sutcliffe, in the system disclosed by Lemelson, in the system disclosed by Brewster, for the advantage of providing a method (system, program storage device) of providing help to people with disabilities, with the ability to increase system effectiveness/efficiency, by allowing the user to filter/manage matching profiles.
- 26. As per Claim 23, Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe disclose wherein the person making the request needs medicine (type of medical assistance, Fig. 3B-3D), and the step of notifying said one of the volunteers includes the step of notifying a second of the volunteers, and comparing the further step of said one and said second of the volunteers cooperating in the retrieval and delivery of medicine to the person making the request (determining best EAS responder, Fig.4).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

27. Claim 22 contains allowable subject matter.

28. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of Brewster, Lemelson, and Sutcliffe fail to expressly disclose the combination of each and every element as disclosed in Claim 22; to include: wherein, the step of establishing the first database includes the steps of identifying and having information in the first database about each of said plurality of people with disabilities. and for each of said people with disabilities, identifying in the first database, I) a description of the needs of the server, ii) the type of handicap of the person, iii) a history of prior assistance given to the person, iv) a list of volunteers who have helped the person in the past, and v) a description of methods of how to help the person; the step of establishing the second database includes the steps of identifying in the second database the plurality of volunteers, and for each of said volunteers identifying in the second database, I) the name of the volunteer, ii) the skills of the volunteer, iii) services that volunteer can offer, iv) a history of prior help given by the volunteer, and v) a list of people the volunteer has helped; the person making the request and a group of the volunteers are on a train; the step of using one of the wireless devices to transmit the request includes the step of sending a signal through the wireless devices and through a

local cellular provides that sends a signal to a global positioning system that notifies the

person making the request of the location of one of said group of volunteers; the step of

using the matching server to obtain information about the person making the request

includes the step of the matching server retrieving an image of the person making the

request to determine which type of people have already assisted the person making the

request; the step of using the matchmaking server to identify one of the volunteers

person making the request.

Art Unit: 3629

includes the step of the matching server making a candidate list with names of volunteers, ranking of satisfaction of people with disabilities, and ways the volunteers may serve the people with disabilities; the step of using the matching server to identify one of the volunteers includes the further steps of i) providing the matching server with a dialogue module, a comparator and a choose, ii) the matching server establishing dialogues between the person making the request and the candidate volunteers, said dialogue including several questions, and iii) after several questions, the dialogue module and the comparator sending information into the chooser which selects one of the candidate volunteers to assist the person requesting help; and the method comprises the further step of: the wireless device of the person making the request sending information to the local cellular provides that then send a signal to any volunteer on the train the wireless device of said any volunteer, said one of the volunteers translating a conversation into sign language, including the steps of I) the person making the request, using said one of the wireless devices to transmit an audio conversation to said one of the volunteers, ii) said one of the volunteers translating said audio conversation into sign language, and iii)

Page 10

#### Response to Arguments

visually depicting the sign language of the said one of the volunteers on a screen of the

29. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/05, regarding Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, 18-21, and 23, have been considered but are not persuasive. The rejection will remain as Non-Final for Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, 18-21, and 23.

Application/Control Number: 09/706,645 Page 11

Art Unit: 3629

30. The Applicant has made the argument the prior art fails to expressly disclose wherein, (f) the matching server providing information to at least one of (i) said one of the volunteers, or (ii) the person making the request, to enable said one or the volunteers and the person making the request to physically find and meet each other.

31. However, Brewster discloses a system wherein the EAS Operator (manual Matching Server) provides the EAS responder with location coordinates of the emergency site (C4 L57-60).

### Conclusion

- 32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (571) 272-6807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am 5:00pm.
- 33. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300 for all official communications.
- 34. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Office of Initial Patent Examination whose telephone number is (703) 308-1202.

Art Unit: 3629

December 9, 2005

Page 12

Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600